arXiv:1610.00642v2 [quant-ph] 14 Oct 2016

Entanglement by Path Identity

Mario Krenn,[1,2,*] Armin Hochrainer,[1,2] Mayukh Lahiri,[1,2] and Anton Zeilinger[1,2,†]

[1] *Vienna Center for Quantum Science & Technology (VCQ), Faculty of Physics, University of Vienna, Boltzmanngasse 5, 1090 Vienna, Austria.*
[2] *Institute for Quantum Optics and Quantum Information (IQOQI), Austrian Academy of Sciences, Boltzmanngasse 3, 1090 Vienna, Austria.*

Quantum entanglement is one of the most prominent features of quantum mechanics and forms the basis of quantum information technologies. Here we present a novel method for the creation of quantum entanglement in multipartite and high-dimensional systems, exploiting an idea introduced by the group of Leonard Mandel 25 years ago. The two ingredients are 1) superposition of photon pairs with different origins and 2) aligning photons such that their paths are identical. We explain examples for the creation of various classes of multiphoton entanglement encoded in polarization as well as in high-dimensional Hilbert spaces – starting only from separable (non-entangled) photon pairs. For two photons, we show how arbitrary high-dimensional entanglement can be created. Interestingly, a common source for two-photon polarization entanglement is found as a special case. Our method can be directly implemented in the photonic regime, but the idea of generating entanglement by path identity can also be applied to other quantum entities. We discovered the technique by analyzing the output of a computer algorithm designing quantum experiments, and generalized it from there. This shows that computer designed quantum experiments can be inspirations for new techniques.

In 1991 Zou, Wang and Mandel reported an experiment where they induce coherence between two photonic beams without interacting with any of them [1, 2]. They used two SPDC (spontaneous parametric down-conversion) crystals, where one photon pair is in a superposition of being created in crystal 1 and crystal 2 – which can be described as $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|a\rangle|b\rangle + |c\rangle|d\rangle)$. The striking idea (originally proposed by Zhe-Yu Ou) was to overlap one of the paths from each crystal (Figure 1), which can be written as $|b\rangle = |d\rangle$. This method removes the *which-crystal information* of the final photon in path d. In contrast to a quantum eraser, the information here is not erased by postselection. Instead, all photons arrive in the same output irrespectively in which crystal they are created. The resulting state leads to $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|a\rangle + |c\rangle)|d\rangle$: One photon is in path d, and its partner photon is in a superposition of being in path a and c. There has been some follow-up work in recent years in the areas of quantum spectroscopy [3, 4], quantum imaging [5, 6], studies of complementarity [7–10], optical polarisation [11] and in microwave superconducting cavities [12]. However, this striking idea has not been investigated in the context of quantum entanglement yet.



Figure 1. **a**: The simplest example which uses the overlapping modes has been discussed first in [1]. The two crystals (grey squares) can produce one pair of photons (blue lines), either in the first or in the second crystal with the pump beam depicted with black lines. If the two processes are coherent and the photons have same the frequency and polarisation, it is not known in which crystal the photons are created. In that case, the resulting photon pair is in the state $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|a\rangle + |c\rangle)|d\rangle$. **b**: A simple sketch of the same experiment. For simplicity, we will use this more abstract representation of physical experiments in the rest of the manuscript.

Here we show that by superposing photon pairs created in different crystals, and overlapping the photons paths, one can generate very flexible experiments producing various types of entanglement, both in the multiphoton and the high-dimensional regime. We start by presenting different schemes to produce various multiphoton polarization-entangled states such as Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger (GHZ) states [13] and W state [14, 15], and contrast them with traditional methods [16]. The method is then generalized to high-dimensional multiphoton entangled states (such as a 4-particle 3-dimensional GHZ state), for which only few special cases exist so far [17, 18]. Furthermore, we present for the first time a method to create arbitrary high-dimensional two-photon entangled states, for example, arbitrary high-dimensional Bell states in orbital angular momentum (OAM) or frequency of photons.

Multi-photon entanglement in Polarisation – First,



Figure 2. Multiphoton entanglement with polarization. **a**: In four crystals, two photon-pairs are produced. Crystal 1 and 2 produce horizontally polarized photons, while crystal 3 and 4 produce vertically polarized ones. Four-photon coincidences can only happen when crystal 1 and 2 fire together or when crystal 3 and 4 fires. That leads to a 4-particle GHZ-state $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H,H,H,H\rangle + |V,V,V,V\rangle)$. **b**: Entangled states with more numbers of particles can be created in an analog way – here a 6-photon GHZ state $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H,H,H,H,H,H\rangle + |V,V,V,V,V,V\rangle)$ is shown.

we consider 4-photon polarization entanglement (Figure 2a). Crystal 1 and 2 can produce horizontally polarized pairs while crystal 3 and 4 can produce vertically polarized ones. The crystals are pumped coherently and the pump power is adjusted such that we can neglect the cases where more than two paris are created. The idea is that four-photon coincidences (i.e. one photon in each of the four paths) can only happen either when the two pairs come from crystal 1 & 2 or crystal 3 & 4. No other event produces four-photon coincidences. For example, if the pairs are produced in crystal 1 & 3, there will be two photons in path c, but none in path b. The resulting four-photon state can be written as (see Appendix)

$$|\psi\rangle = \Big(|H_a, H_c\rangle + |H_b, H_d\rangle + |V_a, V_b\rangle + |V_c, V_d\rangle\Big)^2$$
$$|\psi\rangle \xrightarrow{\text{4-fold}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(|H_a, H_b, H_c, H_d\rangle + |V_a, V_b, V_c, V_d\rangle\Big), \quad (1)$$

where H and V stand for horizontal and vertical polarisation, respectivly, and the subscript stands for the photon's path. The final result is a 4-photon GHZ-state.

In contrast to our new method, the traditional way of creating these states requires two crystals each producing a pair of polarization entangled photons. One photon from each crystal goes to a polarizing beam splitter (PBS), which removes the which-crystal information. Triggering on events



Figure 3. W-states such as $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(|VHHH\rangle + |HVHH\rangle + |HHVH\rangle + |HHHV\rangle)$ represent a different type of multi-photon entanglement. While the GHZ state is considered as the most non-classical state, a W-state is the most robust entangled state because the loss of one particle leaves an entangled state. Here, four-folds can only happen if crystal 1 & 2 produces both a pair of photons, or crystal 3 & 4, or crystal 5 & 6 or 6 & 7. Interestingly, in this setup, one photon from crystal 1 can stimulate an emission in crystal 3. However, this will not lead to four-fold coincidences, as there is no photon in path d. Thus, this event can be neglected.

where all 4 detectors click, a 4-particle GHZ $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H,H,H,H\rangle + |V,V,V,V\rangle)$ state is created [19]. With that traditional method, GHZ-entanglement with 8 photons [20, 21] and very recently, up to 10 photons have been created [22, 23].

The new scheme does not need entangled photons to start with. Furthermore removing of the which-crystal-information using a PBS is not necessary.

In an analogous way, by increasing the number of crystals and the pump power, entangled states with more photons can be created. For example, in figure 2b the setup for creating a 6-photon GHZ state is shown. We want to stress that our method is not taking advantage of cascaded down-conversion, as it has been down in recent articles producing multiphoton polarisation entangled states [24, 25].

It is important to note that in our examples, stimulated emission does not happen (which would introduce noise in the entangled state), because the input modes into the crystal are orthogonal to the output modes (for instance, having different polarization). In other cases, such as for the generation of the 4 photon W-state (figure 3), stimulated emission could happen but its contributions to the four-photon coincidences are neglectable.



Figure 4. Multiphoton-Entanglement with high-dimensional degrees of freedom (in this example: orbital angular momentum). **a**: The setup produces two photon-pairs. Four-Photon coincidences can only occur, when crystal 1 & 2 fire together, or crystal 3 & 4 or crystal 5 & 6. The produced photon pairs are all in the lowest mode (such as OAM=0). After each layer of crystals, a hologram increases the OAM of the photons (depicted as red line). After the third layer, photons from crystal 1 & 2 have OAM=2 and photons from the middle layer have OAM=1, which leads to a four-particle three-dimensional entangled GHZ-state ($|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|0,0,0,0\rangle + |1,1,1,1\rangle + |2,2,2,2\rangle)$). **b**: As before, the scheme can be generalized to more photons – in this example the produced state is a six-photon, 5 dimensional GHZ-state. Of course, one can vary the holograms and add additional phases, which make the setup highly flexible.

Multi-photon entanglement in higher dimensions – The principle can be generalized to produce high-dimensional multiphoton entangled states [26, 27]. High-dimensional entanglement has been investigated mainly in the two-photon case [28–31], with two recent exceptions which investigated three-dimensional entanglement with three photons [17], and teleportation of two degrees-of-freedom of a single photon [32]. Figure 4a shows our proposal for an experiment creating a 3-dimensional 4-party GHZ-state, starting from crystals which create separable photon pairs. There are 3 layers of 2 crystals – i.e. 6 crystals that are pumped coherently, and photon pairs are created in two of them (because the pump power is set to such a level that higher-order emissions can be neglected).



Figure 5. The same technique can also be applied to two-particle states to produce general high-dimensional states. All crystals produce pairs of Gaussian photons. The red lines indicate OAM holograms, the green lines indicate phase shifters. For example, if the holograms all are OAM=1 and phases are ignored, then the final output state is $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(|0,0\rangle + |1,1\rangle + |2,2\rangle + |3,3\rangle)$. However, if one changes phases and the OAM in photon b, arbitrary 4-dimensional states can be created – for example all 16 four-dimensional Bell states. By increasing the number of crystals, more dimensions can be added.

Each photon from the first layer (crystals 1 and 2) passes through two mode shifters, which in total shift its mode by +2. In the case of orbital angular momentum (OAM) of photons [33], mode shifters are holograms which add one unit of OAM to the photon (an analogous method can be done with discrete frequency bins, using acousto-optic modulators). Photons from the second layer (crystal 3 and 4) pass through one mode shifter, while the photons created in the uppermost layer (crystal 5 and 6) stay in their initial mode. The resulting 4-photon state can be described in the following way (see Appendix for notation):

$$|\psi\rangle = \Big(|2_a, 2_d\rangle + |2_b, 2_c\rangle + |1_a, 1_c\rangle + |1_b, 1_d\rangle + |0_a, 0_b\rangle + |0_c, 0_d\rangle\Big)^2, \quad (2)$$

where 0, 1 and 2 stand for the mode number (such as the OAM of the photon), and subscript denotes the photon's path. In the same way as before, by neglecting cases where more than two photon pairs are produced, one finds that a 4-fold coincidence event in detectors a, b, c, d can only be created either if crystal 1&2 fire together or crystal 3&4 or crystal 5&6. This leads to

$$|\psi\rangle \xrightarrow{\text{4-fold}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\Big(|2_a,2_b,2_c,2_d\rangle + |1_a,1_b,1_c,1_d\rangle + |0_a,0_b,0_c,0_d\rangle\Big). \quad (3)$$

Our idea can further be generalized to cases for more than 4 photons, as shown in figure 4b. There, an experiment for the generation of 6-photon 5-dimensional entanglement is depicted. The setup requires five layers of three crystals (15 crystals in total), where photon pairs are created only in three of them. Adding phase shifters between the crystals can be used to produce more general states. Adding additional columns (and increasing the pump power) increases the photon number n, while adding additional layers increases the dimensionality of entanglement d (for GHZ-states, up to $d = n - 1$).

Two-photon arbitrary high-dimensional entanglement – Finally, we show that the same technique can be applied to generate arbitrary high-dimensional entangled two-photon states, starting again with only separable (non-entangeld) photon pairs. As shown in figure 5, four crystals are set up in sequence (only one photon pair is produced) and their output modes are overlapped. Between each crystal, one adds arbitrary phase shifters and mode shifters (as before, holograms or AOMs for OAM or frequency modes, respectively). That allows for adjusting every individual term in the superposition independently. For example, with all phases set to $\phi = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and all mode shifters being $+1$, the setup creates $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\left(|0,0\rangle + i|1,1\rangle - |2,2\rangle - i|3,3\rangle\right)$. The dimension can be increased by increasing the number of layers (crystals); the minimum number of layers for creating a d-dimensional entangled state is d.

Traditional methods for producing high-dimensional entanglement exploit the entanglement produced directly in a crystal. Such methods can only produce very restricted type of states. Furthermore, those states are never maximally entangled and have low rates of production. Our technique overcomes these restrictions and can produce arbitrary high-dimensionally entangled two-photon states. We can also tune the amount of entanglement in the following ways: 1) by adjusting the pump laser power between different crystals, we can produce non-maximally entangled pure states; 2) by pumping the crystals with pumps that are not fully coherent to each other, we can produce entangled mixed states.

We want to stress the following: The number created photon pairs does not depend on the number of crystals in the experimental setup. For example in figure 5, even though there are 4 crystals, only one photon pairs are created. Therefore the expected two-photon rate is of the same order as in conventional single-crystal source. Moreover, our method requires only separable photon pairs to begin with. Therefore, for OAM of photons, the production rates can be significantly higher than the rate achievable with a traditional method (where higher-dimensional entanglement created directly in the crystal). This is because it is substantially easier to create photon pairs in zero-order (Gaussian spatial mode) than in higher-order modes.

Interestingly, the simplest special case of the technique presented here is a commonly used source of two-photon polarization-entanglement. The so-called *cross-crystal source* uses two crystal after each other, where the first one can create a horizontally polarized photon pair, the second one creates vertically polarized photon pairs [34]. Pumping both crystal at the same time and producing one pair of photons, one can create a $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|H,H\rangle + |V,V\rangle\right)$ state. That technique can now be seen as a special case of a much broader technique to produce highly flexible high-dimensional multiphoton states in various degrees of freedom, by exploiting superposition of photon-pair origins and overlapping of paths of photons.

To conclude, we investigate new types of photonic entanglement generation by combining two methods: First, photon pairs which origin from different crystals are coherently superposed. Second, we align photon paths to manipulate the structure of the entangled state. It allows for the generation of very general quantum entangled states, for high-dimensional and multi-photonic systems. Our method can be favorably suited for photonic quantum computation schemes particularly in miniaturized compact devices.

While our examples are described in the photonic regime, the method of creating entanglement by path identity (or more generally, identity of *some* degree of freedom) can be generalised to other quantum entities. It can be potentially applied for generating entanglement in microwave superconducting cavities [12], atomic systems [35, 36], trapped ions [37] and superconducting circuits [38].

Finally, we discovered this technique by analyzing the output of a computer algorithm which designs new quantum optical experiments [18]. From there we, generalized the idea. It shows that automated designs of quantum optical experiments by algorithms can not only produce specific quantum states or transformations, but can also be a source for inspiration for new techniques – which can further be investigated by human scientists in more general contexts (see Appendix).

ACKNOWLEGDEMENTS

The authors thank Melvin for investigating 100 million quantum optical experiments. We also thank Dominik Leitner for providing computation resources. This work was supported by the Austrian Academy of Sciences (ÖAW), by the European Research Council (SIQS Grant No. 600645 EU-FP7-ICT) and the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) with SFB F40 (FOQUS) and FWF project CoQuS No. W1210-N16.

* mario.krenn@univie.ac.at
† anton.zeilinger@univie.ac.at

[1] LJ Wang, XY Zou, and L Mandel. Induced coherence without induced emission. *Physical Review A*, 44(7):4614, 1991.

[2] XY Zou, LJ Wang, and Leonard Mandel. Induced coherence and indistinguishability in optical interference. *Physical review letters*, 67(3):318, 1991.

[3] SP Kulik, GA Maslennikov, SP Merkulova, AN Penin, LK Radchenko, and VN Krasheninnikov. Two-photon interference in the presence of absorption. *Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics*, 98(1):31–38, 2004.

[4] Dmitry A Kalashnikov, Anna V Paterova, Sergei P Kulik, and Leonid A Krivitsky. Infrared spectroscopy with visible light. *Nature Photonics*, 2016.

[5] Gabriela Barreto Lemos, Victoria Borish, Garrett D Cole, Sven Ramelow, Radek Lapkiewicz, and Anton Zeilinger. Quantum imaging with undetected photons. *Nature*, 512(7515):409–412, 2014.

[6] Mayukh Lahiri, Radek Lapkiewicz, Gabriela Barreto Lemos, and Anton Zeilinger. Theory of quantum imaging with undetected photons. *Physical Review A*, 92(1):013832, 2015.

[7] TJ Herzog, JG Rarity, H Weinfurter, and A Zeilinger. Frustrated two-photon creation via interference. *Physical review letters*, 72(5):629, 1994.

[8] Thomas J Herzog, Paul G Kwiat, Harald Weinfurter, and Anton Zeilinger. Complementarity and the quantum eraser. *Physical Review Letters*, 75(17):3034, 1995.

[9] A Heuer, R Menzel, and PW Milonni. Induced coherence, vacuum fields, and complementarity in biphoton generation. *Physical review letters*, 114(5):053601, 2015.

[10] A Heuer, R Menzel, and PW Milonni. Complementarity in biphoton generation with stimulated or induced coherence. *Physical Review A*, 92(3):033834, 2015.

[11] Mayukh Lahiri, Armin Hochrainer, Radek Lapkiewicz, Gabriela Barreto Lemos, and Anton Zeilinger. Partial polarization by quantum distinguishability. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1510.04192*, 2015.

[12] Pasi Lähteenmäki, Gheorghe Sorin Paraoanu, Juha Hassel, and Pertti J Hakonen. Coherence and multimode correlations from vacuum fluctuations in a microwave superconducting cavity. *Nature Communications*, 7:12548, 2016.

[13] Daniel M Greenberger, Michael A Horne, and Anton Zeilinger. Going beyond bell's theorem. In *Bell's theorem, quantum theory and conceptions of the universe*, pages 69–72. Springer, 1989.

[14] A Zeilinger, MA Horne, and DM Greenberger. Nasa conf. publ. no. 3135. 1997.

[15] Mohamed Bourennane, Manfred Eibl, Christian Kurtsiefer, Sascha Gaertner, Harald Weinfurter, Otfried Gühne, Philipp Hyllus, Dagmar Bruß, Maciej Lewenstein, and Anna Sanpera. Experimental detection of multipartite entanglement using witness operators. *Physical review letters*, 92(8):087902, 2004.

[16] Jian-Wei Pan, Zeng-Bing Chen, Chao-Yang Lu, Harald Weinfurter, Anton Zeilinger, and Marek Żukowski. Multiphoton entanglement and interferometry. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 84(2):777, 2012.

[17] Mehul Malik, Manuel Erhard, Marcus Huber, Mario Krenn, Robert Fickler, and Anton Zeilinger. Multi-photon entanglement in high dimensions. *Nature Photonics*, 10(4):248–252, 2016.

[18] Mario Krenn, Mehul Malik, Robert Fickler, Radek Lapkiewicz, and Anton Zeilinger. Automated search for new quantum experiments. *Physical review letters*, 116(9):090405, 2016.

[19] Jian-Wei Pan, Matthew Daniell, Sara Gasparoni, Gregor Weihs, and Anton Zeilinger. Experimental demonstration of four-photon entanglement and high-fidelity teleportation. *Physical Review Letters*, 86(20):4435, 2001.

[20] Xing-Can Yao, Tian-Xiong Wang, Ping Xu, He Lu, Ge-Sheng Pan, Xiao-Hui Bao, Cheng-Zhi Peng, Chao-Yang Lu, Yu-Ao Chen, and Jian-Wei Pan. Observation of eight-photon entanglement. *Nature Photonics*, 6(4):225–228, 2012.

[21] Yun-Feng Huang, Bi-Heng Liu, Liang Peng, Yu-Hu Li, Li Li, Chuan-Feng Li, and Guang-Can Guo. Experimental generation of an eight-photon greenberger–horne–zeilinger state. *Nature communications*, 2:546, 2011.

[22] Xi-Lin Wang, Luo-Kan Chen, Wei Li, He-Liang Huang, Chang Liu, Chao Chen, Yi-Han Luo, Zu-En Su, Dian Wu, Zheng-Da Li, et al. Experimental ten-photon entanglement. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1605.08547*, 2016.

[23] Luo-Kan Chen, Zheng-Da Li, Xing-Can Yao, Miao Huang, Wei Li, He Lu, Xiao Yuan, Yan-Bao Zhang, Xiao Jiang, Cheng-Zhi Peng, et al. New source of ten-photon entanglement from thin bib _{3} o _{6} crystals. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.00228*, 2016.

[24] Hannes Hübel, Deny R Hamel, Alessandro Fedrizzi, Sven Ramelow, Kevin J Resch, and Thomas Jennewein. Direct generation of photon triplets using cascaded photon-pair sources. *Nature*, 466(7306):601–603, 2010.

[25] Deny R Hamel, Lynden K Shalm, Hannes Hübel, Aaron J Miller, Francesco Marsili, Varun B Verma, Richard P Mirin, Sae Woo Nam, Kevin J Resch, and Thomas Jennewein. Direct generation of three-photon polarization entanglement. *Nature Photonics*, 8(10):801–807, 2014.

[26] Marcus Huber and Julio I de Vicente. Structure of multidimensional entanglement in multipartite systems. *Physical review letters*, 110(3):030501, 2013.

[27] Jay Lawrence. Rotational covariance and greenberger-horne-zeilinger theorems for three or more particles of any dimension. *Physical Review A*, 89(1):012105, 2014.

[28] Adetunmise C Dada, Jonathan Leach, Gerald S Buller, Miles J Padgett, and Erika Andersson. Experimental high-dimensional two-photon entanglement and violations of generalized bell inequalities. *Nature Physics*, 7(9):677–680, 2011.

[29] J Romero, D Giovannini, S Franke-Arnold, SM Barnett, and MJ Padgett. Increasing the dimension in high-dimensional two-photon orbital angular momentum entanglement. *Physical Review A*, 86(1):012334, 2012.

[30] Mario Krenn, Marcus Huber, Robert Fickler, Radek Lapkiewicz, Sven Ramelow, and Anton Zeilinger. Generation and confirmation of a (100× 100)-dimensional entangled quantum system. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(17):6243–6247, 2014.

[31] Yingwen Zhang, Filippus S Roux, Thomas Konrad, Megan Agnew, Jonathan Leach, and Andrew Forbes. Engineering two-photon high-dimensional states through quantum interference. *Science advances*, 2(2):e1501165, 2016.

[32] Xi-Lin Wang, Xin-Dong Cai, Zu-En Su, Ming-Cheng Chen, Dian Wu, Li Li, Nai-Le Liu, Chao-Yang Lu, and Jian-Wei Pan. Quantum teleportation of multiple degrees of freedom of a single photon. *Nature*, 518(7540):516–519, 2015.

[33] Mario Krenn, Mehul Malik, Manuel Erhard, and Anton Zeilinger. Orbital angular momentum of photons and the entanglement of laguerre-gaussian modes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1607.05114*, 2016.

[34] Paul G Kwiat, Edo Waks, Andrew G White, Ian Appelbaum, and Philippe H Eberhard. Ultrabright source of polarization-entangled photons. *Physical Review A*, 60(2):R773, 1999.

[35] Michael Keller, Mateusz Kotyrba, Florian Leupold, Mandip Singh, Maximilian Ebner, and Anton Zeilinger. Bose-einstein condensate of metastable helium for quantum correlation experiments. *Physical Review A*, 90(6):063607, 2014.

[36] Raphael Lopes, Almazbek Imanaliev, Alain Aspect, Marc Cheneau, Denis Boiron, and Christoph I Westbrook. Atomic hong-ou-mandel experiment. *Nature*, 520(7545):66–68, 2015.

[37] Rainer Blatt and David Wineland. Entangled states of trapped atomic ions. *Nature*, 453(7198):1008–1015, 2008.

[38] R Barends, J Kelly, A Megrant, A Veitia, D Sank, E Jeffrey, TC White, J Mutus, AG Fowler, B Campbell, et al. Superconducting quantum circuits at the surface code threshold for fault tolerance. *Nature*, 508(7497):500–503, 2014.

APPENDIX

1. Explanation of equation 1

An analysis for the system depicted in figure 2a reveals that the 4-photon state is given (except of a normalisation constant) by

$$\begin{aligned}|\psi\rangle = \Big(&|2V_a, 2V_b\rangle + |2V_c, 2V_d\rangle \\ &+ |2H_a, 2H_c\rangle + |2H_b, 2H_d\rangle \\ &+ |V_a, V_b, V_c, V_d\rangle + |V_a, V_b, H_b, H_d\rangle \\ &+ |H_a, V_a, V_b, H_c\rangle + |H_b, V_c, H_d, V_d\rangle \\ &+ |H_a, H_c, V_c, V_d\rangle + |H_a, H_b, H_c, H_d\rangle\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $|2H_a\rangle$ stands for two horizontally polarized photons in path a. Here we have neglected higher order terms. This expression is represented by the square-term in the first line of equation (1). Four-photon coincidence (one photon in a, b, c and d) then leads to

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(|H_a, H_b, H_c, H_d\rangle + |V_a, V_b, V_c, V_d\rangle\Big). \tag{5}$$

2. How we found the technique

The computer algorithm described in [18] produced setups for experimentally feasible high-dimensional multi-photon states. We analyzed a result of an unusually high-dimensional entangled 3-photon state, as it was not expected that such a state can be created, given the restricted set of available elements of the algorithm. One restriction was that each setup starts with two SPDC crystals, which can produce each 3-dimensional 2-photon entanglement. However, in that particularly unusual solution, the algorithm used three types of available SPDC process in a superposition (crystal 1 and crystal fires twice, and crystal 1 and 2 fire ones together). Upon understanding that technique, we gave the algorithm explicitly the possibility to use several crystals in superposition and overlapping their paths. We further restricted other available elements, in order to understand how powerful this technique is. The algorithm was able to find a high-dimensional entangled state using only crystals and mode shifters and - the setup was very similar to the one depicted in figure 2a. We further restricted it to the simplest case of 2-dimensional polarization entanglement, were it also found solutions (similar to the setup in figure 2a again). From there on, the authors extracted the principle idea of the technique and subsequently simplified and finally generalized it.